	THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE
1	MICHAEL KOCHERSPERGER August 18, 2017 MENDEZ vs SOUMHWEST AIRBINES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR
2	OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES
3	
4	In the Matter of:
5	DUANE MENDEZ, ANDREW BLAIZE, DAVID NAZARETH, PERCY LABASAN,
6	and BOBBY JAMES, CASE NOS. 2017-AIR-00021,
7	Complainants, 2017-AIR-00021, 2017-AIR-00022, 2017-AIR-00023,
8	vs. 2017-AIR-00023, and 2017-AIR-00025
9	SOUTHWEST AIRLINES CO.
10	Respondent.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	DEPOSITION OF MICHAEL KOCHERSPERGER
16	Taken on Friday, August 18, 2017
17	At 8:30 a.m.
18	At 2300 West Sahara Boulevard Suite 770
19	Las Vegas, Nevada
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	Reported by: Alexander J. Nagle, CCR 923



1	MENDEZ V3 OCCITIVATOTA	
1	MICHAEL KOCHERSPERG AMENDEANCESUTHWEST	
2		
3	For Respondent:	FORD & HARRISON LLP
4	ror kespondent:	271 17th Street, NW Suite 1900
5		Atlanta, Georgia 30363 BY: LEANNE C. MEHRMAN, ESQ.
6		Ph. (404)888-3800; Fax (404)888-3863   mehrman@fordharrison.com
7		Imeni Imane I OI ana I I I I Son. Com
8		
9	For Complainants:	SEHAM SEHAM MELTZ & PETERSEN, LLP 199 Main Street
10		Seventh Floor White Plains, New York 10601
11		BY: LEE SEHAM, ESQ. Ph. (914)997-1346
12		ssmpls@aol.com
13		
14	Also Present:	Lauren Armstrong, Paralegal
15		Duane Mendez, Complainant
16		David Nazareth, Complainant
17		
18	¥:	
19	**	
20		
22		
23		
24		
25		
		800.211.DEPO (3376)



## MICHAEL KOCHERSPERGER MENDEZ vs SOUTHWEST AIRLINES

August 18, 2017

1	MICHAEL KOCHERSPERGER MENDEZ vs SOUTHWEST AIRLI	NES INDEX		August 18, 2017	
2		Examination	Further	Examination	
3	By Mr. Seham	5			
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25			<b>800</b>	211.DEPO (3376)	



1	Wrinks August 18, 2017  MENDEZ vs SOUTHWEST AIRLINES
2	And Jack said, "No. That's not what I'm
3	saying. That's not what I'm telling you to do."
4	Q. And how did Mr. Mendez respond to that?
5	A. I don't remember.
6	Q. Did you reach any conclusion as to why
7	Mr. Mendez, under the existing circumstances, would be
8	worried about his job?
9	A. I just assumed that the conclusion came
10	from the discussion they had the night before.
11	Q. Did you ever tell him, "Jack James won't
12	have you fired"?
13	A. No, I didn't say that.
14	Q. Did you ever tell him, "Mike Everly won't
15	have you fired"?
16	A. No.
17	Q. So your reassurance was limited to telling
18	him that you personally would not have him fired,
19	correct?
20	A. That's correct.
21	Q. Did you tell him, during this
22	conversation, that you considered his write-ups to be
23	part of a job action?
24	A. No.
25	Q. Jack James, what is his position?  EsquireSolutions.com



1	MICHAEAKOCHE <b>M் அடிக்கு regional manager, I be highe</b> 18, 2017   MENDEZ vs SOUTHWEST AIRLINES
2	Q. And back to let me just follow up with
3	one or two more questions about your direct
4	conversation with Duane Mendez.
5	Did you believe that he was expressing his
6	concern about his job security in good faith?
7	A. No.
8	Q. So you don't you concluded that he was
9	not worried, in fact?
10	A. I don't think he was as worried as he
11	would have liked me to believe. That's just my
12	opinion.
13	Q. So why did you give him the reassurance if
14	you didn't think he was worried?
15	MS. MEHRMAN: Objection. Form.
16	BY MR. SEHAM:
17	Q. Well, let me withdraw the question.
18	You felt he was because maybe it
19	mischaracterizes your testimony.
20	You felt he was worried, but not as
21	worried as he was trying to make you think; is that
22	your conclusion?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. So there was some there was some
25	quantum of worry that you perceived in Mr. Mendez? 800.211.DEFO (3376) EsquireSolutions.com



1	MICHAELAKOCHERSPERGER August 18, 2017
2	MENDEZ vs SOUTHWEST AIRLINES Q. And so in order to alleviate what you
3	perceived as a genuine level of concern on his part,
4	you gave him your personal reassurance that you would
5	take no action against him; is that correct?
6	A. I did tell him I would not fire him.
7	Q. That's not responsive to my question.
8	MR. SEHAM: I'm sorry to trouble you.
9	Could you read the question back.
10	(Question read.)
11	BY MR. SEHAM:
12	Q. Do you understand the question?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. The answer to the question is "yes"?
15	A. No. I understand the question, is yes.
16	Q. Okay. Would you please answer the
17	question?
18	A. Yes, I gave him my personal reassurance
19	that I would not fire him. I didn't say that I would
20	take no action. I said I would not fire him.
21	Q. I'm not asking what you said. I'm asking
22	why you said it.
23	MR. SEHAM: Could you read the question
24	back again.
25	(Question read.) 800.211.DEPO (3376) EsquireSolutions.com



1	BYMMRIAESRIBMERSPERGER August 18, 2017 MENDEZ vs SOUTHWEST AIRLINES
2	Q. I'm going to ask you again to answer that
3	question.
4	A. Yes.
5	MR. SEHAM: Off the record.
6	(Recess taken.)
7	BY MR. SEHAM:
8	Q. This is going to be sort of out of order,
9	but we were talking before about the FAA and your
10	meeting with them.
11	Was there any discussion of Aircraft 371,
12	to your recollection?
13	A. I don't recall if we talked about it or
14	not.
15	Q. Now, just because I'm not sure it's on the
16	record, who is Jack James?
17	MS. MEHRMAN: Asked and answered.
18	MR. SEHAM: Maybe we could stipulate it
19	rather than interrupting my flow.
20	MS. MEHRMAN: I believe his testimony just
21	before the break was
22	MR. SEHAM: I'm trying to elicit a
23	different answer as to what Jack James is to impeach
24	his credibility and show that he's inclined to lie
25	about who Jack James is.  800.211.DEPO (3376) EsquireSolutions.com



## MENDEZ vs SOUTHWEST AIRLINES your supervision?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

19

20

21

22

25

- A. Every night, yes.
- Q. And how about MV2's? Are they also performed most nights by AMTs under your supervision?
  - A. Most nights, yes.
  - Q. And the MV2, what does that consist of?
- A. It's just a little bit higher check. Some of the tolerances for wear limits in tires and breaks, for example, are a little bit more stringent.
- Q. Does that call for an inspection of the ground service doors?
- 12 A. Specifically, I don't know.
- Q. Okay. And does it call for an inspection of the cargo doors?
- 15 A. I don't know.
- Q. I need to go back to your conversation, briefly, with Mr. Mendez the day after the meeting with Jack James.
  - Isn't it true that you said to him that if he was worried about being written up by the feds, that he should continue to write up the cargo door damage?
    - A. Not exactly, but similar.
- Q. Okay. Do you remember any better -- can you give us --
  - A. I believe I said, "If you're worried about



	TAKENINE ZAMO CONTRIBUTED
1	write them up. Write it up. I
2	don't have a problem with it."
3	Q. Okay. And isn't it true that you said to
4	him, "If you're worried about your job, then I don't
5	know"?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Now, would you agree I'm sorry. How
8	long have you been with Southwest?
9	A. Just a little over four years.
LO	Q. Do you know what the process for
<b>l</b> 1	remediating a scratch to the cargo door or cargo door
12	handle is where the scratch exceeds limits? How do you
13	fix that?
L <b>4</b>	A. Well, you refer to the SRM and follow the
15	procedures in there.
16	Q. Do you have any recollection of what those
L 7	procedures are?
18	A. Generally, you would measure the depth of
١9	a scratch. And sometimes it depends on what area of
20	the it can sometimes depend on what area the scratch
21	is in. And then the SRM would give you different
22	limitations for different areas, possibly, or give you
23	limitations of the depth of the scratch.
24	Q. Is it common is a common approach to

remediating this kind of damage to blend the metal to



25